

2021-04-29 - [Anuket RA2] - Meeting Agenda and Minutes

Attendees:

- [Riccardo Gasparetto Stori](#) (Vodafone)
- [Pankaj Goyal](#) (AT&T)
- [Tom Kivlin](#) (Vodafone)
- [Karine Sevilla](#) (Orange)
- [Gergely Csatai](#) (Nokia)

Agenda and Minutes:

- Antitrust notices
 - [Linux Foundation Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
 - [GSMA Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- Walk-in items
 - [PR 2355](#): Require Node Feature Discovery and Device Plugins
 - content is ok, can also mention dev plugins are needed for SRIOV as well
 - introduce the topic of Device plugins within [issue 2255](#) - in the architecture
 - only one comment pending, then ok to go
 - [PR 2362](#) - merged
 - [PR 2378](#) - k8s api policy
 - opened <https://github.com/cnnt-n/CNTT/issues/2380> for feature gates
 - [Gergely Csatai](#) backwards compatibility: if a new GA API breaks the backwards compatibility with the Beta version of that API, we must run both the GA and the beta to avoid breaking the workload
 - [Cedric Ollivier](#): workloads should adapt instead, split supported APIs and backwards compatibility into two PRs
 - [PR 2332](#): ch6 with tables listing the K8S SIG features that are mandatory or not.
 - fixed index
 - add remaining SIG tables: [issue 2370](#) opened
 - and map ch4 specs to mandatory features: [issue 2371](#) opened
 - [issue 976](#): forgot to actually delete the placeholder appendix - now in [PR 2372](#)
 - [Issues](#) <https://github.com/cnnt-n/CNTT/issues/2341> and <https://github.com/cnnt-n/CNTT/issues/2349> - Target kubernetes version and accepted API versions
 - FYI: upstream now targeting 3 releases per year, not 4 (starting from 1.25 iirc): <https://github.com/kubernetes/enhancements/pull/2567/files>
 - Can we target 1.21 for Kali?
 - 1.21 should be EOS 03/22 upstream supports 12 months starting from 1.19
 - Kali+1 will be released at the end of 21
 - which means we have time to release kali+1 before 1.21 is unsupported
 - Must decide on a generic policy to define which APIs are acceptable
 - Suggestion is to keep upstream API versions (ie v1)
 - first we should decide on which APIs RA2 needs, then for each one, decide on the release.
 - cluster LCM: had a go at ch 123: <https://github.com/cnnt-n/CNTT/pull/2373>
 - requirements on clusters being LCM'd and cluster customisation are required as workloads need e.g. node customisation, real time kernels, etc
 - cluster configuration is an issue - today, operators refer to RA2 for cluster requirements, but have to write own reqs for cluster LCM
 - specify the **what**, not the **how** - should not mandate how implementations do cluster lcm, but only what the end result is
 - RI and RC impact - if a spec is in ch4, it must be tested against
 - to be added to next TSC discussion
- AOB & Project review
 - <https://github.com/cnnt-n/CNTT/projects/10>
- Permanent FYI
 - CNF Working Group within CNCF - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1YFimQftjkTUsxNGTsKdakovP7cJtJgCTqViH2kwJOrc/edit>
 - This also incorporates the previous requirements gathering exercise
- Actions/Next steps
 - June 7/10 virtual Face to Face - will need to come up with a list of topics to discuss, to inform the wider community and get feedback
 - Please register <https://community.lfnetworking.org/events/details/linux-foundation-lfn-developer-testing-forums-presents-lfn-developer-testing-forum-june-2021/>
 - Topics can be created [here](#) under the Section titled "Create a topic Proposal"
 - Feb v2f: <https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/display/LN/2021+February+Developer+Topic+Proposals#id-2021FebruaryDeveloperTopicProposals-AnuketTopics>

Meeting Recording

https://zoom.us/rec/share/sKsEix785r5UM48kXBRb6i-M0ciwj185ULR3k_ZKu6MvBjVn_hvIPVE_nNBEdTUg.FDH4rsAQmuUYZ9cM?startTime=1619708714000