

2021-04-15 - [Anuket RA2] - Meeting Agenda and Minutes

Attendees:

- [Riccardo Gasparetto Stori](#) (Vodafone)
- [Pankaj Goyal](#) (AT&T)
- [Suman Kumar](#) (Samsung)
- [Cedric Ollivier](#) (Orange)
- [Gergely Csatari](#) (Nokia)
- [Petar Torre](#) (Intel)
- [Karine Sevilla](#) (Orange)
- [Peter Woerdle](#) (Ericsson)

Agenda and Minutes:

- Antitrust notices
 - [Linux Foundation Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
 - [GSMA Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- Walk-in items
 - [PR 2355](#): Require Node Feature Discovery and Device Plugins
 - content is ok, can also mention dev plugins are needed for SRIOV as well
 - introduce the topic of Device plugins within [issue 2255](#) - in the architecture
 - [PR 2332](#): cedric proposed a new chapter between 6 and 7 with tables listing the K8S SIG features that are mandatory or not.
 - merged!
 - last meeting's notes:
 - will require restructuring and opening a number of issues to track all SIG features that are in scope of RA2, if accepted
 - Conformance = . CNCF conformance, is a subset of the overall set of CNTT tests (~300)
 - test cases source is e2e test framework - integrated with functest as framework
 - test cases are divided by sigs - ref. <https://build.opnfv.org/ci/job/functest-kubernetes-latest-daily/>
 - After merging, [Cedric Ollivier](#) to author the tables for SIGs that have tests already specified
 - RA2 team to specify, for each feature, whether it's mandatory or not - some items may require fleshing out
 - idea is for RC2 to sync automatically with content of table once it's completed
 - next steps: [Riccardo Gasparetto Stori](#) to fix index, add remaining SIG tables, and map ch4 specs to mandatory features
 - Kubernetes external network orchestration discussion in CNCF CNF WG: <https://github.com/cncf/cnf-wg/discussions/118>
- AOB & Project review
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/projects/10>
 - Issues <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/2341> and <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/2349> - Target kubernetes version and accepted API versions
 - Can we target 1.21 for Kali?
 - Must decide on a generic policy to define which APIs are acceptable
 - Suggestion is to keep upstream API versions (ie v1)
 - first we should decide on which APIs RA2 needs, then for each one, decide on the release.
- Permanent FYI
 - CNF Working Group within CNCF - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1YFimQftjkTUsxNGTsKdakovP7cJtJgCTqViH2kwJOrc/edit>
 - This also incorporates the previous requirements gathering exercise
- Actions/Next steps
 - June 7/10 virtual Face to Face - will need to come up with a list of topics to discuss, to inform the wider community and get feedback
 - Feb vf2f: <https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/display/LN/2021+February+Developer+Topic+Proposals#id-2021FebruaryDeveloperTopicProposals-AnuketTopics>
 - Next week meeting to be canceled.

Meeting Recording

https://zoom.us/rec/share/zfOUQ4lkgZD4_hsDrh4WkVaSUuUV8UuP6rv8D7Jb3EB_iwIMRK6nH8U-lmKua2K.xcghL9EwM_-wwt6q?startTime=1618499114000