

2021-04-13 TSC Agenda and Minutes

Attendees

1. [Al Morton](#) (AT&T)
2. [Frank Brockners](#) (Cisco)
3. [Georg Kunz](#) (Ericsson)
4. [Gergely Csatai](#) (Nokia)
5. [Walter Kozlowski](#) (Telstra)
6. [Cedric Ollivier](#) (Orange)
7. [Trevor Cooper](#) (Intel)
8. [Sridhar Rao](#) (Spirent)
9. [Lincoln Lavoie](#) (UNH-IOL)
10. [Jie Niu](#) (China Mobile representing Qiao Fu)
11. [Riccardo Gasparetto Stori](#) (Vodafone)
12. [Emma Foley](#) (Red Hat)
13. [Beth Cohen](#) (Verizon) – have a conflict with an internal meeting today. (was late)

Absent (TSC)



1. [Mark Beierl](#) (Canonical)
2. [Ahmed El Sawaf](#) (STC)

Other Attendees

1. [Scot Steele](#) (AT&T)
2. [Pankaj Goyal](#) (AT&T)
3. [Amar Kapadia](#) (Aarna Networks)
4. [Ulrich Kleber](#) (Huawei)
5. [James Gu](#)

Agenda

Time	Topic	Presenters	Minutes
5 min	Meeting Administration <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Linux Foundation Anti-trust Policy✓ Agenda Bashing✓ Attendance/Quorum (9/15)✓ Approval of previous meeting minutes 2021-04-06 TSC Agenda and Minutes	Al Morton	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Last week's minutes are APPROVED.
5 min	Announcements <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ GB Committer Representative: Amy Zwarico✓ June Virtual Event - June 7-10	Al Morton	

10 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ 5G Super Blueprint - Slides <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ LFN Demo page ✓ meeting calendar ✓ Kuberef connection  discussion 	Al Morton Amar Kapadia	 <p>5G Super Bluepri...4 13 21 (1).pptx</p> <p>Group was formed following the observation that a lot of the components for a 5G solution are already available across projects in LF. The project sits under LFN (evolution of the VCO demo). There'll be two instances of Kuberef - one for ONAP, one for magma.</p> <p>See also: https://www.lfnetworking.org/5g-super-blueprint/</p> <p>Cedric Ollivier It shouldn't be limited to the e2e service deployment. It's also about testing, automating all tasks (what about the fork done by LFE?) and to allow the end users to run the same demo locally.</p>
?? min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Update on Marketing efforts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> "Getting Started" materials <input type="checkbox"/> Extending the Ambassador Program (both telcos and vendors) 	B-TEAM!	no updates (none of the team members attended the TSC meeting) Nothing to report -- Beth who is monitoring the meeting remotely
10 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Continue Release process discussions, Goals and Management • Last Friday: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Resolved Gergely's comments ◦ Began working on process steps for M1 criteria • Meeting CANCELED for Fri, April 16 (David OOO for the week) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Resume April 23 	David McBride	<p>CVC would like to request that at the time of the M4 milestone a go/no-go decision on badges for the requirements and testing contained be done for the release. This decision should address both technical accuracy and if the requirements set meets to end-user needs. - Lincoln Lavoie</p> <p>Questions that CVC asks the Anuket TSC to answer as part of M4 (these questions are to help qualify whether the CVC could go ahead and "market" a release). These questions are to help CVC gating process - they are not intended to gate anything on the Anuket release process:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are the test cases sufficiently well-implemented to cover RA/RM? Cedric Ollivier Anuket CNTT RC1 and Anuket CNTT RC2 (as in compliance and not release candidate) decide if the test case is well implemented to verify existing deployments 2. Do the requirements meet the end-user needs? (operators must answer this) Cedric Ollivier It depends how end users leverage compliance verification or certification. <p>Cedric Ollivier EUG seems a better place if we want to evaluate the marketing value for a new badge. It's a CVC milestone or TSC rendez-vous, unlinked to the project release.</p>
10 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Update on Weekly Technical Discussion of the Charter <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Charter Ready for Review by Legal ✓ Operation procedures and Guidelines 	Gergely Csatari	<p>Trevor Cooper added comments after it was sent to legal review, they are minor edits. But, incorporate now and send next week.</p> <p>Operation procedure and guidelines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meaningful contribution discussion • "Should there be an operator quota?" as one of the main questions <p>Documents to comment on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anuket Charter proposal for commenting - we plan to close the remaining comments to this next Monday on the weekly meeting. After that LF Legal will review it and TSC will vote if we accept the result. • Anuket TSC Operations and Procedures document proposal for commenting • Anuket Project Operations and Guidelines document for commenting

10 min	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLA – DCO Discussion <input type="checkbox"/> TSC DECISION: Does the TSC agree to use CLA in addition to the DCO?	Steve Winslow	<p>Decision to include CLA needs to be decided before finalizing the charter. Both options are currently used (w/CLA or w/o).</p> <p>CLA is based on Apache CCLA. CLA helps the participating companies.</p> <p>See: Anuket ICLA and CCLA</p> <p>(some additional background / FYI: https://opensource.com/article/18/3/cla-vs-dco-whats-difference)</p> <p>Wiki Vote: ends next week 4/20</p>
10 min	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Workstream Leads/ Project PTLs standup <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pod resources, refreshes, Redfish(es), other HW topics.	WS Leads and PTLs	
5 min	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Status Updates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Linux Foundation Lab (Portland) hardware upgrade status <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LF IT/Infra update: (Aric Gardner, Trevor Bramwell) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jenkins Plugin Updates scheduled for Friday 	Trevor Bramwell @ Aric Gardner	<p>link to scheduled maintenance for Jenkins plugins this Friday the 16th at 8AM PST. Please follow the link and subscribe if you'd like notifications.</p>
5 min	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical Officer Updates <input type="checkbox"/> Tech Editor - Beth Cohen <input type="checkbox"/> CNCF Tech Coordination - Gergely Csatai <input type="checkbox"/> ETSI NFV and MEC Tech Coordination - Ulrich Kleber <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GSMA Tech Coordination - Walter Kozlowski <input type="checkbox"/> XGVela Tech Coordination - Pankaj Goyal <input type="checkbox"/> Open Infrastructure Foundation - Ildiko Vancsa <input type="checkbox"/> ORAN - vacancy for WG 6 <input type="checkbox"/>		<p>GSMA OPG reached out to OITF where RM is also published, working on alignments - may impact Anuket Arch.</p>
0 min	<input type="checkbox"/> AOB		
	Future Agenda Topics: <input type="checkbox"/> How do we figure out who are the WS stream leads AND PTLs in an automated way? Mark Beierl		

Outstanding Action Items

- ☐ Need to do a poll, following a survey for M-release names. Could choose ALL the names, if that's simpler! ([Cedric Ollivier](#) M-release is needed for CNTT RC2/Functest in a couple of days https://calendar.google.com/calendar/embed?src=agst.us_b07popf7t4avmt4km7eq5tk5ao@group.calendar.google.com - Be free to vote any other rivers but M- is basically urgent.)