

# 2021-03-09 TSC Agenda and Minutes

## Attendees

1. [Walter Kozlowski](#) (Telstra)
2. [Al Morton](#) (AT&T)
3. [Georg Kunz](#) (Ericsson)
4. [Frank Brockners](#) (Cisco)
5. [Sridhar Rao](#) (Spirent)
6. [Trevor Cooper](#) (Intel)
7. [Gergely Csatai](#) (Nokia)
8. [Emma Foley](#) (Red Hat)
9. [Qiao Fu](#) (China Mobile)
10. [Beth Cohen](#) (Verizon)
11. [Emma Foley](#) (as proxy for [Mark Beierl](#))
12. [Lincoln Lavoie](#) (UNH-IOL)
13. [Cedric Ollivier](#) (Orange)
14. [Riccardo Gasparetto Stori](#) (Vodafone)

## Absent (TSC)

1. [Ahmed El Sawaf](#) (STC)

## Other Attendees

1. [Scot Steele](#) (AT&T)
2. [Jim Baker](#)
3. [Jie Niu](#)
4. [Pankaj Goyal](#) (AT&T)
5. [Ulrich Kleber](#)
6. [Trevor Bramwell](#)
7. [William Diego Maza](#)
8. [Toshiyasu Wakayama](#) (KDDI)
9. [Ildiko Vancsa](#)
10. [Heather Kirksey](#)
11. [David McBride](#)
12. [@Phil Robb](#)
13. [@Steve Winslow](#)

## Agenda

	Time	Topic	Presenters	Minutes
	5 min	<b>Meeting Administration</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Linux Foundation Anti-trust Policy</li><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agenda Bashing</li><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Attendance/Quorum (13/15)</li><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approval of previous meeting minutes</li></ul>	Co-chairs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Minutes of last week's meeting are APPROVED.</li><li>• Cedric started a discussion on the meeting recording. The ask is to make the meeting recording available to the community or not to record the meeting. The current policy is to have the recording only available for a week to ensure minutes can be completed. Note: Many public meetings are recorded for a short time to help with editing and creating minutes. Suggestion: If there is a need to change the recording policy, it should be brought forward as a proposal to the next TSC meeting.</li></ul> <p><a href="#">Cedric Ollivier</a> : I asked, Feb 25 2021, the record of TSC Feb 23 2021. Still waiting for it.</p> <p><a href="#">Al Morton</a> : it appears that particular TSC meeting was not recorded.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Topic: Anuket TSC Start Time : Mar 9, 2021 05:46 AM</li></ul> <p>Meeting Recording: <a href="https://zoom.us/rec/share/V3VcKj5vC2cv1z_f91reRqsN9OSxkKqOp7KGniMU1OvF0lgJYNG8z53vOWgW06vO.CqdUWljP18vv9iBz">https://zoom.us/rec/share/V3VcKj5vC2cv1z_f91reRqsN9OSxkKqOp7KGniMU1OvF0lgJYNG8z53vOWgW06vO.CqdUWljP18vv9iBz</a></p>
	5min	<b>Announcements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> "K" release name nominations/selection process AND "L" release name selection poll 05 Mar 2021 <b>Poll started and closes 12 Mar 2021 - be advised you may need to login to groups.io</b></li><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> K poll</li><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> L poll</li></ul>	<a href="#">Walter Kozlowski</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Note: Several CNTT projects (e.g. RC1) and FuncTest in association already use the "Kali" name informally (i.e., to select test cases).</li></ul>

15 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <b>Continue Release process discussions, Goals and Management</b></li> <li>✓ Proposed milestones (<a href="https://wiki.anuket.io/x/QD1D">https://wiki.anuket.io/x/QD1D</a>) UPDATE</li> <li>□ Interaction opportunities and end-goals/customers</li> </ul>	David McBride	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern that M3 (contents freeze) is very "early".</li> <li>• Need to clearly explain as part of the schedule that specification is working on release "n+1" whereas validation and testing is on release "n"; i.e. there is no dependency between specification work and testing/validation work.</li> <li>• Pankaj will propose some changes to the current schedule to allow for better interleaving of specification and testing/validation development work. (Draft from Pankaj: <a href="https://wiki.anuket.io/x/QD1D">https://wiki.anuket.io/x/QD1D</a>)</li> <li>• Gergely to add the topic to the agenda of the technical meeting on Monday. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <a href="#">Gergely Csatari</a> and <a href="#">Walter Kozlowski</a> will lead the meeting.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>NOTE:</b> Meeting times are nailed to UTC. With the US switching to DST this weekend and Europe 2 weeks later, meeting times are a bit out of synch. Gergely will propose a meeting time for the next 2 technical meetings look for an email from Gergely. Also note that in 2 weeks time, all meetings which are nailed to UTC will be 1 hour earlier than where they are right now.</p>
15 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Anuket IP (intellectual property) policies</li> </ul>	<a href="#">Heather Kirksey</a>  Steve Winslow	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Historically, in the days of OPNFV, a CLA-like process was part of the membership agreement but not documented in the charter.</li> <li>• Once OPNFV became a project under LFN, it no longer had a separate member agreement; the OPNFV CLA-like process was used in our tooling by not formally documented in the Technical charter</li> <li>• CNTT was very light weight - just leveraging creative commons, and no CLA.</li> <li>• Several OSS projects use CLA but not all.</li> <li>• Now that we are Anuket, it would be a good idea to have consistent IP hygiene across the whole project.</li> <li>• One option would be for all Anuket projects to leverage the Apache CLA document for contributors, both for "corporate" contributors and individual contributors.</li> <li>• Steve Winslow to write up a proposal both to explain what's being proposed and why and suggested implementation regarding suggested charter change.</li> <li>• It would be good to create a whitepaper on the topic of CLAs - why they exist, what their benefits are, what they resolve, etc.</li> <li>• Proposal to call another meeting with TSC members and their legal counsel to explain and validate way forward.</li> </ul>
15 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <b>Workstream Leads/ Project PTLs standup</b></li> <li>✓ VSPERF project name change and revise scope Future of VSPERF. Sridhar Rao</li> <li>✓ Volunteers are needed to re-juvenate and lead work areas <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>□ RI-2 <a href="#">Tom Kivlin</a> has stepped down, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ TSC needs to appoint a new lead (according to CNTT process), or</li> <li>▪ conduct archived-project review (according to OPNFV process).</li> <li>▪ Gaps between kubref and RI-2</li> <li>▪ Coordination between projects with installer capability</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>✓ ALL PTLs - identify the Lab resources you are currently using! <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <a href="#">Wiki page Summary</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<a href="#">Al Morton</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• VSPERF - scope evolved to incl. OpenStack, K8s, ... Contributors are mostly from academia. Whenever VSPERF is discussed, the name leads to confusion. Suggested new name: "ViNePERF" <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ TSC APPROVES the name change and scope change of VSPERF.</li> <li>◦ VSPERF team to open a support ticket to make the required infra changes (git etc.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• RI-2 - suggestion is to use the next Wednesday Kuberef/RI-2 meeting to work on a solution to "distribute the work" in an appropriate way so that work continues and no balls are dropped.</li> </ul>
10 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <b>Update on Weekly Technical Discussion of the Charter</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ 08 Mar 2021 <b>Minutes</b></li> <li>◦ requested opportunity to meet with LF legal on what should and should not be in the charter</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<a href="#">Gergely Csatari</a>	<p>Monday meeting on the topic concluded with looking at other recent charters as candidates for clarity.</p> <p><a href="#">Pankaj Goyal</a> volunteered to add a first draft based on the XGVela and CNTT charters here: <a href="#">Anuket Charter and TSC Procedures revisions#Basedonotherprojectscharters</a></p>
5 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <b>gitlab/gitlab CI migration</b></li> <li>✓ Follow-up on Questions regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Moving to <a href="#">Gitlab.com</a> will reduce the project's hosting costs (??\$?),</li> <li>▪ leverage Gitlab's SLA (what is the SLA?), and</li> <li>▪ allow them to take advantage of features released monthly (can we control new features we adopt during a Release?).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<a href="#">Trevor Bramwell</a>  <a href="#">Jim Baker</a>	<p>Responses from Gitlab:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What is the SLA? The <a href="#">GitLab.com</a> SLA target is &gt;= 99.95% availability <a href="https://about.gitlab.com/handbook/engineering/infrastructure/performance-indicators/#gitlabcom-availability">https://about.gitlab.com/handbook/engineering/infrastructure/performance-indicators/#gitlabcom-availability</a></li> </ul> <p>To achieve this target, problems that affect everyone on the platform will be swiftly dealt with by our SRE team.</p> <p>Details on <a href="#">GitLab.com</a> Service Level Availability and how it is calculated can be found here: <a href="https://about.gitlab.com/handbook/engineering/monitoring/#gitlabcom-service-level-availability">https://about.gitlab.com/handbook/engineering/monitoring/#gitlabcom-service-level-availability</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can Anuket stay on same version during a release (6-months) or control new features we adopt? For <a href="#">GitLab.com</a> SaaS, version upgrades occur automatically behind the scenes. We use CI/CD to release fixes/improvements and enable access to new features as quickly as possible for users of our SaaS service. New versions are tested and go through a QA process before being deployed to <a href="#">GitLab.com</a> to ensure quality and backward compatibility. In other words, version upgrades on <a href="#">GitLab.com</a> should not break any functionality in terms of web interface, command line interaction, or API functionality.</li> </ul>

5 min	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Status Updates</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Linux Foundation Lab (Portland) hardware upgrade status <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LF IT/Infra update: (Aric Gardner, Trevor Bramwell)	<a href="#">Trevor Bramwell</a>  @ Aric Gardner	no updates this week
10 min	<b>Technical Officers updates</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Tech Editor - <a href="#">Beth Cohen</a> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CNCF Tech Coordination - Gergely Csatai <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ETSI NFV and MEC Tech Coordination - Ulrich Kleber <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GSMA Tech Coordination - Tom Van Pelt <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> XGVela Tech Coordination - Pankaj Goyal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open Infrastructure Foundation - Ildiko Vancsa	<a href="#">Walter Kozlowski</a>  <b>Technical Officers</b>	<p>Volunteers requested in TSC meeting and/or by e-mail to <a href="#">Walter Kozlowski</a></p> <p><a href="#">Ulrich Kleber</a> : There will be an NFV workshop on April 21st, co-hosted by ETSI and TelecomTV. Details coming soon at <a href="https://www.telecomtv.com/content/events/">https://www.telecomtv.com/content/events/</a>. Call for papers is already open at <a href="https://forms.office.com/Pages/ResponsePage.aspx?id=Wp05tzmU6CG6cb2vY9mxzCCY34CZJNkU2yy1ExQDpUMkMyVpZOFYzVEVSTDIKRUs1UEJTVTZMQS4u">https://forms.office.com/Pages/ResponsePage.aspx?id=Wp05tzmU6CG6cb2vY9mxzCCY34CZJNkU2yy1ExQDpUMkMyVpZOFYzVEVSTDIKRUs1UEJTVTZMQS4u</a> . It would be a good opportunity for Anuket to engage.</p> <p>Deadline for CFP - March 22, Open call for presentations, but Uli will reserve a slot for Anuket. Slides come after that.</p> <p><a href="#">Ildiko Vancsa</a> The next PTG will happen on April 19-23. You can find more information and the registration link here: <a href="https://www.openstack.org/ptg/">https://www.openstack.org/ptg/</a></p> <p>CNCF (<a href="#">Gergely Csatai</a> ):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Next Kubecon: 4-7 May: <a href="https://events.linuxfoundation.org/kubecon-cloudnativecon-europe/">https://events.linuxfoundation.org/kubecon-cloudnativecon-europe/</a></li> <li>• CNCF CNF WG is running Tech Lead and Co-Chair elections             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <a href="https://github.com/cncf/cnf-wg/blob/master/charter.md">https://github.com/cncf/cnf-wg/blob/master/charter.md</a></li> <li>◦ Weekly on Mondays at 16:00 UTC (8:00am Pacific Time)</li> <li>◦ Agenda and notes are <a href="#">available</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><a href="#">Pankaj Goyal</a> XGVela: will draft a survey of Anuket and XGVela members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Survey on Telco PaaS: create a common category of SW versions, from this survey.</li> </ul> <p>Other volunteers for coordination needed, see 02 Mar 2021 Minutes</p> <p><a href="#">Walter Kozlowski</a> GSMA OITF#6 on 10 March on Security within RM with people from GSMA FASG group</p>
0 min	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>AOB</b>		
	<b>Future Agenda Topics:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> How do we figure out who are the WS stream leads AND PTLs in an <b>automated</b> way? <a href="#">Mark Beierl</a>		

## Outstanding Action Items

- ☐ Need the statement of Business value (focused on the vendor community) from the Marketing WG.
- ☐ For all PTLs - identify the Lab resources you are currently using! by **02 Mar 2021**
- ☐ Anuket Recruiting: Bob Monkman heads up the Anuket marketing group. We could invite him for a readout in 2-3 weeks from **23 Feb 2021** .