

2021-02-23 TSC Agenda and Minutes

23 Feb 2021

Attendees

1. [Al Morton](#)
2. [Walter Kozlowski](#)
3. [Riccardo Gasparetto Stori](#) (Vodafone)
4. [Beth Cohen](#) (Verizon)
5. [Emma Foley](#) (Red Hat)
6. [Frank Brockners](#) (Cisco)
7. [Sridhar Rao](#) (Spirent)
8. [Lincoln Lavoie](#) (UNH-IOL)
9. [Qiao Fu](#) (China Mobile)
10. [Georg Kunz](#) (Ericsson)
11. [Gergely Csatai](#) (Nokia)
12. [Ahmed El Sawaf](#) (STC)
13. [Trevor Cooper](#) (Intel)

Absent (TSC)

1. [Mark Beierl](#) (Canonical)
2. [Cedric Ollivier](#) (Orange)

Other Attendees

1. [Jim Baker](#)
2. [Heather Kirksey](#)
3. [Ildiko Vancsa](#)
4. [Pankaj Goyal](#)
5. [Trevor Bramwell](#)
6. [David McBride](#)
7. [Scot Steele](#)
8. [Ulrich Kleber](#)
9. [Toshiyasu Wakayama](#)(KDDI)
10. Vincent Danno

Agenda

	Time	Topic	Presenters	Notes	Minutes
		Meeting Administration <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Linux Foundation Anti-trust Policy✓ Agenda Bashing✓ Attendance/Quorum✓ Approval of previous meeting minutes	Chairs		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Last weeks minutes are APPROVED.
	5 min	Announcements <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Anticipate Requests for Intern Project Proposals<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ No dates yet.✓ "K" release name nominations/selection process selection poll 26 Feb 2021	Chairs		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Status on intern project has not changed (still no dates available)
	5 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Meeting between LF and Orange legal teams to clarify positions about DCO and CLA	Jim Baker	To update TSC about the proposed meeting's objectives, scope and timeframe	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Note: This is not a DCO vs. CLA discussion. You can have both (like ONAP).• Several communities exclusively rely on DCO (incl. e.g. LF-Edge)• Jim scheduled a meeting to dive deeper into the concerns (Thursday this week). Jim will share back the outcome of the meeting.

15 min	<input type="checkbox"/> Continue Release process discussions, Goals and Management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> David provided an outline of gaps to get us to a release process: Release Process Proposal: Anuket Release Process Preliminary Proposal.pdf Earlier documentation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> https://readthedocs.org/projects/opnfvdocsdemo/ for OPNFV. https://readthedocs.org/projects/cnntf/ for CNIT. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RI: https://cnntf.readthedocs.io/en/latest/ref_impl/README.html RC: https://cnntf.readthedocs.io/en/latest/ref_cert/README.html Meeting again 23 Feb 2021 	David McBride	<p>On Docs: https://readthedocs.org/projects/anuket/ already exists. There is a need for consolidated documentation (which was earlier driven in OPNFV by the docs project).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TSC agrees to have 2 release per year moving forward - one around June/15, one around Dec/15
15 min	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tools Migration - gitlab migration	Trevor Bramwell Jim Baker	<p>As you are aware, LF has been investing in IT Modernization with a key focus of cost efficiencies and modernizing the workflow of open source projects throughout the LF. In 2019 the LFN TAC sponsored the Infrastructure Working Group recommending moving all the projects to "as a Service" implementations, specifically naming gitlab. Gitlab offers benefits in the developer experience, platform tooling, and resource management.</p> <p>Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developer experience: Gitlab provides a platform that is familiar for newer developers coming from Github, moves CI configurations next to the code, and enables projects to take advantage of Gitlab free container builds and CI's resources. Platform tooling: There are security, dependency and licence scanning tools that can easily be integrated into CI to increase security and compliance, and container and package repositories that can be used to reduce CI reliance on other platforms (eg. Dockerhub). Resource management: Moving to Gitlab.com will reduce the project's hosting costs, leverage Gitlab's SLA, and allow them to take advantage of features released monthly. <p>To mitigate the risk of this migration we plan on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Running existing toolchains in parallel with the bring up of gitlab Migrate projects as they become ready Having LF IT do the work of migrating the CI/CD configurations Utilizing LaaS resources when generic hardware is needed for validation. Pulling in developers to double-check LF IT's work, and coordinate timing to enroll the hardware in Gitlab. <p>Learn more about the roadmap here: Transformation Roadmap & Process</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trevor provided an overview of the process, entirely managed by LF IT (see details: Transformation Roadmap & Process) Trevor feels confident that the transition can be done within ~2 months (done by June); transition for projects post the next release (K-release) if so required. Target migration date should be flexible (migrate when the new tool chain is "turnkey" - so that no interruption to the projects' progress is caused). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trevor will continuously update the TSC on progress of the transition TSC AGREES to initiate the migration to gitlab as per the plan outlined by Trevor. This is a subject to making sure that impact on projects and releases is limited and agreed

	5 min	<input type="checkbox"/> Technical officers proposal Chief Technical Editor ONAP Technical Coordinator CNCf Technical Coordinator CVC Technical Coordinator Automation and Tooling ONF: Open Networking Foundation ETSI Open Infrastructure GSMA LFN EUAG 5G Session to promote RA2: TIP ODIM XGVela oRAN Others?	Walter Kozlowski Proposal to nominate technical officers to lead particular technical and strategic collaboration activities.	Topic deferred to the next meeting.
	15 min	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assuming a successful Anuket recruiting program, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anticipate new people in the specification WS and the Development Projects. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thus developer on-boarding needs to be really easy, incl. a comprehensive set of documentation, wiki, etc. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> What are the activities to accomplish this?	Heather Kirksey	Call for a recruitment campaign to be directed by Marketing with the TSC support from technical perspective. Need the statement of Business value (focused on the vendor community) from the Marketing WG. Bob Monkman heads up the Anuket marketing group. We could invite him for a readout in 2-3 weeks.
	0 min	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TSC Operation: Charter revisions <input type="checkbox"/> 22 Feb 2021 Weekly Tech Discussion results <input type="checkbox"/> Page was created to comment the Charter and the Operational Guidelines: Anuket Charter and TSC Procedures revisions <input type="checkbox"/> Operational Guidelines - Feedback needed. Beth Cohen has volunteered.	Gergely Csati	No updates on charter discussion (discussion likely to be continued in the next technical meeting).

10 min	<input type="checkbox"/> Wiki site updates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anuket Wiki page <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ We'll use spaces to avoid namespace conflicts. But use with care. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If you want sub-trees copied from CNTT or OPNFV wiki, send email to Jim Baker, identifying the root of the sub-tree. Jim will create the associated tickets with LF. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> How did we do on this call for action? <input type="checkbox"/> Will we drop blank Wiki Pages from the Wiki? (#agree on this??) <input type="checkbox"/> Further topics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Alignment of groups/IDs across LF LDAP and Github. <input type="checkbox"/> Issue tracking (Jira /Github?). <input type="checkbox"/> Active OPNFV JIRA users: New Instance for Anuket! <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> File a ticket support. linuxfoundation.org for migration of your jira. opnfv.org project to jira. anuket.io as needed 	Jim Baker Everyone	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Anuket per project wiki:</i> TSC AGREES to remove projects from the wiki that don't update their wiki by 01 Mar 2021 . Note that this does not include archiving the project (which might happen at a later stage). 	<p>Jim plans to lock OPNFV and CNTT wikis by end of this week. This means you can continue to view, but won't be able to change the wiki.</p> <p>Side topic discussion: The TSC needs to agree on a construct for Anuket of what constitutes contributions to Anuket that count for eligibility to vote and run for TSC. To be added to the agenda for a future TSC meeting</p>
15 min	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Active Repo Migration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DockerHub Migration - no change to OPNFV Dockerhub <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contributor License Agreement Only "DCO": Developer's Certificate of Origin https://opensource.com/article/18/3/cia-vs-dco-whats-difference	Jim Baker	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Copy active docker repos to: https://hub.docker.com/u/anuket, and instruct projects on how to update CI. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Barometer ◦ Dovetail (dovetail-webportal) ◦ Functest ◦ LaaS ◦ NFVBench ◦ SampleVNF ◦ Storperf • Propose 6 months Interval for active project MIRRORS repo migration • OPNFV Dockerhub will continue to exist - proposal is to CLONE repos in Anuket space, and it's up to projects to update their CI. • Only DCO is planned in Anuket: indemnify LF regarding applicable licenses. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Any concerns? no, more follow-up. 	

10 min	<input type="checkbox"/> Workstream Leads/ Project PTLs standup (continued) <input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers are needed to rejuvenate <input type="checkbox"/> DOCS what's next? <input type="checkbox"/> Projects write the documentation - the DOCS project is mostly about organizing and structuring the content. <input type="checkbox"/> Can this be a small part of the Release process for Development documents? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PHAROS -??? Can we get a view of the project-wide resources that are at our disposal, and how we manage them? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Need a person to step up for this, it's an important job! <input type="checkbox"/> STATUS still needed from: <input type="checkbox"/> Dovetail - https://wiki.opnfv.org/display/dovetail <input type="checkbox"/> Other projects that Anuket Needs, but are not currently staffed???	PTLs/Co-Chairs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Pharos": <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Anuket needs a view of the available build/deploy/lab (incl. member labs) resources. TSC looks for volunteers to provide that overview - so that we can effectively use Anuket's budget in 2021 (before we spend money on new resources, the TSC needs a proper view on the current set of resources and their availability). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ LFN lab resources: Linux Foundation Lab Projects are asked to move to "LaaS" resources (and off the existing UCS servers - so that they can be decommissioned). ▪ For LaaS @ UNH see: https://labs.lfnetworking.org/lab/UNH_IOL/ ▪ Jenkins List https://build.opnfv.org/ci/computer/ ◦ ACTION: for all PTLs - identify the Lab resources you are currently using! <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ This should give us a fairly broad view, but only as complete as the response. 	Echo'ing what is already stated in the notes: For all PTLs - identify the Lab resources you are currently using!
5 min	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Updates <input type="checkbox"/> Linux Foundation Lab (Portland) hardware upgrade status <input type="checkbox"/> LF IT/Infra update: (Aric Gardner, Trevor Bramwell) <input type="checkbox"/> gitlab POC <input type="checkbox"/> GSMA interaction		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please don't use the UCS pod - trying to de-commission, but there are some Functest jobs running there - suggest to move to LaaS. • LF Pods 4 and 5 are in Portland - will move to Oregon State to save costs. Scheduling has been a problem. Current allocation of 4 and 5 to Functest • gitlab POC: Scheduled follow-up discussion from the DDF for next Monday • Joint meeting with OITF with FASG planned for 10 March. 	
5 min	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AOB <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Renaming VSPERF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FDS Termination	Sridhar Rao	Future of VSPERF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VSperrf proposes name change to "NetCAP". Name change will happen along with the move to the new github tooling (i.e. no need to change existing name in legacy tools). • Need to update scope of VSperrf as well. • TSC agrees to move FDS to "archived" stage. • OpenStack logo: https://www.openstack.org/brand/openstack-logo/logo-download/ :)
	Future topics: <input type="checkbox"/> How do we figure out who are the WS stream leads AND PTLs in an automated way?	Mark Beierl ?		

Outstanding Action Items:

- ☐ For all PTLs - identify the Lab resources you are currently using! by **23 Feb 2021**
- ☐ TSC to agree on a construct for Anuket of what constitutes contributions to Anuket that count for eligibility to vote and run for TSC.