

2020-04-29 - [CNTT - RM Workstream Master] Agenda and Meeting Minutes

Attendees:

Please add your name in here:

1. [Kelvin Edmison](#) (Nokia)
2. [Gergely Csatari](#) (Nokia)
3. [Petar Torre](#) (Intel)
4. [Pankaj Goyal](#) (AT&T)
5. [Ahmed El Sawaf](#) (Saudi Telecom)
6. [Walter Kozlowski](#) (Telstra)
7. [Ulrich Kleber](#) (Huawei)
8. [Unknown User \(rabi_abdel\)](#) (Vodafone)
9. [Karine Sevilla](#) (Orange)
10. [Trevor Cooper](#) (Intel)
11. [Tomas Fredberg](#) (Ericsson)

Special Notes:

- Given the limited time available, we will focus on identifying issues/actions/next steps, but **not solving them right now**
- **Weekly RM meetings are intended to**
 - Identify owners for new Issues
 - Track open Issues
 - Address technical issues that cannot be resolved online (i.e. resolve stalls)

Agenda:

- [Linux Foundation Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- [GSMA Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- Agenda bashing
- **Github issue Status**
 - [Down to 12 Open Baldy issues with no PR.](#)
 - [13 PRs updated, with 8 closed, in last 2 weeks.](#)
- **Github Issue Review: Candidates for closing**
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/1251>
 - OSS/BSS are explicitly excluded
 - No reference in the diagram to OSS/BSS
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/343>
 - Use cases no longer in RM (moved to tech document)
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/395>
 - Is this fully the domain of RI/RC now?
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/365>, More Operation and LCM Requirement
 - Is this explicitly declared as out-of-scope as per the description in Chapter 01?
 - How to incorporate the feedback from the LCM meeting?
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/175> (define extensibility and scalability of profiles)
 - Can this be closed as duplicate of the VNF evolution work?
- **Any Other Business**
 - Live discussion of
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/pull/1493>
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/pull/1521>

Actions:

Minutes:

- Issue 1251:

- Decision: Leave open, remove from Baldy, as it was not in the top 5 priorities for the Baldy release. Move to backlog
- Issue 343:
 - Decision: Closing this issue, per @rabi-abdel, as the use cases have moved to the Tech chapter.
- Issue 395:
 - Decision per meeting 2020-04-29: to leave this discussion to RI/RC meetings where OPNFV engagement is occurring.
- Issue 365
 - Leave open, remove from Baldy, as it was not in the top 5 priorities for the Baldy release. Move to backlog
- Issue 175:
 - Close as duplicate of the extensions framework issue, and against the VNF evolution framework issue.
 - Extensions framework pull: <https://github.com/cnft-n/CNTT/pull/1354>
 - VNF backward evolution: <https://github.com/cnft-n/CNTT/issues/1008>
 - VNF forward evolution: <https://github.com/cnft-n/CNTT/issues/1009>