

# OPNFV TSC Meeting 2020-06-09

- [Date](#)
- [Attendees](#)
- [Absent](#)
- [Non TSC Attendees](#)
- [Agenda items](#)
- [Outstanding Action Items](#)
- [Task report](#)
- [Minutes](#)
  - [Logistics](#)
  - [Announcements](#)
  - [Virtual Event Planning](#)
  - [Release Process](#)
- [Action items](#)

## Date

09 Jun 2020

## Attendees

- [Al Morton](#)
- [Cedric Ollivier](#)
- [Frank Brockners](#)
- [Qiao Fu](#)
- [Georg Kunz](#)
- [Unknown User \(jentoio\)](#)
- [Mark Beierl](#)
- [Sridhar Rao](#)
- [sunku ranganath](#)
- [Trevor Cooper](#)

## Absent

- [Cristina Pauna](#)
- [Unknown User \(mbuil\)](#)
- [Unknown User \(pkaralis\)](#)

## Non TSC Attendees

- [David McBride](#)
- [Heather Kirksey](#)
- [Scot Steele](#)
- [Jim Baker](#)
- [Ildiko Vancsa](#)
- [Unknown User \(scott.steinbrueck\)](#)
- [Unknown User \(admin\)](#)

## Agenda items

Time	Item	Presenter	Notes
5 min	<b>Meeting Logistics</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>✓ Linux Foundation Anti-trust policy</li><li>✓ Agenda Bashing</li><li>✓ Attendance/Quorum</li><li>✓ Approval of Meeting Minutes: OPNFV TSC Meeting Agenda - 2020-06-02 and Meeting Minutes</li></ul>	<a href="#">Al Morton</a>	

3 min	<b>Announcements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Election announcement - 2020 OPNFV TSC Election Nominations</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Future Topic: new template for staffing task &amp; requirement evaluation - similar to Intern projects <a href="#">Mark Beierl</a></li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Future Topic: Yardstick's future?? HA test cases. Bottlenecks' Stress test spawns VMs till it breaks in OVP</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Future topic: XTesting as a project - graduation or formalisation of it. We don't want it to be similar to the Test DB where we lost maintainers.</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ETSI Plugtest <a href="https://www.etsi.org/events/1683-nfv-mec-plugtests">https://www.etsi.org/events/1683-nfv-mec-plugtests</a> is 15 Jun 2020 . Do we want to try to run any XTesting/Functest there against any of the VIMs that will be present?</li> </ul>	<a href="#">Al Morton</a>	
5 min	<b>Virtual Event Planning (June 22-25)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Volunteer for Project reviews at the Round-Robin (~20 min each) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ looking for projects to participate in this proposal</li> </ul> </li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registration for the Virtual Event - <a href="#">Registration Link</a></li> </ul>	<a href="#">Unknown User (jentoio)</a>	
20 min	<b>OPNFV Release Process</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> What does OPNFV WANT from the next Release? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Summarize current discussion.</li> <li>◦ Past Presentation: <a href="#">OPNFV Release Process 2020-05-19.pdf</a></li> </ul> </li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Next Steps: finish this discussion at tech discuss on 08 Jun 2020 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Continue Using Release meeting time slot to discuss the new Release PROCESS - key stakeholders should join.</li> <li>◦ Capture IMPROVEMENTS to proposed process</li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Release meetings</a> continue 09 Jun 2020</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<a href="#">David McBride</a>	<p>Need review at least for Marketing messages (possible vote) weeks in advance</p> <p>Agenda update on Monday - after Weekly Tech Discuss meeting</p>
10 min	<b>OPNFV Project Reviews</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ ovp4nfv at future meeting</li> <li>◦ sampleVNF: Status Development Continues, Need to Update Committer List and elect new PTL</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<a href="#">Jim Baker</a> <a href="#">Al Morton</a>	ACTION to schedule ovp4nfv
5 min	<b>What more do we want from Program Management?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract PM support for limited time - potential elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ website cleanup: <a href="https://wiki.opnfv.org/x/jAFbAw">https://wiki.opnfv.org/x/jAFbAw</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<a href="#">Jim Baker</a>	
10 min	<b>CNTT Engagement – Current Item</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CNTT <b>Future Mode of Operation</b> meetings have begun, with clear decision criteria and data-driven decision-making. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Deadline is September, in time to make a recommendation to the GB.</li> <li>◦ Discuss current status - CNTT FMO team is trying to make progress on this by early June. <a href="#">CNTT FMO Meeting Minutes</a></li> <li>◦ <b>TODAY: Review 3 options for CNTT's Future</b></li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Current RACI Chart</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<a href="#">Al Morton</a> <a href="#">Jim Baker</a> <a href="#">Scot Steele</a> <a href="#">Unknown User (scott.steinbrueck)</a>	Pre-meeting notes: Need OPNFV Views on this, not so much like OS community to have RACI, "OPNFV" entry needs more detail, we need to work together better, maybe make OPNFV's version of this chart.
10 min	<b>OVP Engagement (standing topic)</b>		

2 min	<b>CNTT Engagement – Future Work Items</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Reference Infrastructure and Reference Conformance work with CNTT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Beyond CNTT's Terms of Reference (Scope), license issue is not a big risk for the amount of code in CNTT docs.</li> </ul> </li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Review OPNFV's overall process to respond to CNTT Requirements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ CNTT Requirements have been revised - Release was <b>15 May 2020</b></li> <li>◦ Need revised assessment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Requirements Review (<a href="#">CIRV coverage status (April 24)</a>)</li> </ul> </li> <li>◦ Test Identification</li> <li>◦ Ref Instantiation and automation of deployment and testing</li> <li>◦ Badging</li> </ul> </li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>TSC Review of <a href="#">Staffing Proposal to CNTT plus Field Trial Testing Plan and Staffing</a></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ CNTT discussions? other updates??</li> <li>◦ Input from OPNFV New Release Process meeting (virtual event, <b>23 Apr 2020</b>) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Release plans can use template of project work items, duration and skills needed.</li> <li>▪ Negotiate between projects and requirement stakeholders - TSC facilitates</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>CIRV Mandate Review</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Mark Beierl has looked at the <a href="#">Common Infrastructure Realization &amp; Validation (CIRV) Home</a> page and its mandate and believes that there is a disconnect between what the project started out doing and what it is currently doing.</li> <li>◦ TSC agreed there is as an opportunity to improve <b>28 Apr 2020</b></li> <li>◦ On Weekly Tech Discussion Agenda</li> </ul> </li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <b>OPNFV 2.0 Actions: <a href="#">Work Progress Page</a> and <a href="#">Agreements Capture Page</a></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ CNTT in OPNFV Update (Qiao Fu ) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ CIRV Project relationship - Interactions on the basis of WIKI updates</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<a href="#">Al Morton</a> <a href="#">Jim Baker</a>	
2 min	<b>Status Updates</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">OPNFV Linux Foundation Lab (Portland) hardware upgrade - waiting for Quotes</a></li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LF IT/Infra update: (Aric Gardner, Trevor Bramwell)</li> </ul>	<a href="#">Unknown User (jentoio)</a> <a href="#">Trevor Bramwell</a>	

## Outstanding Action Items

### Task report

Looking good, no incomplete tasks.

### Minutes

### Logistics

- Minutes approved
- Quorum reached

### Announcements

- One more week to nominate TSC Chair
- No nominations received so far
- No representation for Plugtest as it is now too late
- Xtesting cannot be separated from Functest

### Virtual Event Planning

- No actions

## Release Process

- Concern was expressed over the role of PTLs in the requirements part of release planning process
- PTLs would have veto authority over requirements
- This is a 'release of releases', is independent of project's release process or cadence
- Need to have a formal agreement of the proposal so we can move forward with it

## Action items

